UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,583	07/24/2003	Kenichi Hayashi	240708US2	7738
22850 759 OBLON, SPIVAR		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			WILLIAMS, ALEXANDER O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONT	HS	04/12/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated. "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/12/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summanu	10/625,583	HAYASHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexander O. Williams	2826
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	1arch 2007.	
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	
Disposition of Claims	•	
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 and 20 is/are pending in the approximate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-14,16,17 and 20 is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,15,18 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	/are withdrawn from consideratio	n.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 10/625583 Attorney's Docket #: 240708US2

Filing Date: 7/24/2003; claimed foreign priority to 7/26/02

Applicant: Hayashi et al.

Examiner: Alexander Williams

Page 2

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/21/07 has been entered.

Applicant's Amendment filed 2/21/07 to the election with traverse of species of figure 1A (claims 1-8, 15, 18 and 19) filed 10/18/04 is acknowledged. This species elected read on figures 1A to 7.

This application contains claims 9-14, 16, 17 and 20 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse.

Claim 19 has been cancelled.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Initially, and with respect to claims 8, 15 and 18, note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA); In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289 (CAFC); and most recently, In re Thorpe et al., 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC, 1985) all of which make it clear that it is the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that, as here, an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that Applicant has burden of proof in such cases as the above case law makes clear.

Claims 1 to 8, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito Takehiro (Japan Patent # 63-166254) in view of Togawa (Japan Patent Publication # 1-122579).

1. Saito Takehiro (figures 1 to 6) specifically figures 3 and 4 show a semiconductor device of an insertion-mount-type comprising: a plastic package 1; a plurality of leads 2 protruding outward from said plastic package; one or more semiconductor elements (within the 1) protected by said plastic package; and electric wiring (inherent) protected by said plastic package to connect said semiconductor elements with said leads, said semiconductor device being mounted on an external electric member 21 by inserting said leads into a lead-inserting portion 22 of said external member and joining

said leads with said lead-inserting portion by solder 23, at least one of said semiconductor elements being a power semiconductor element (inherent), wherein each of said leads includes a first lead portion (portion of 2 closest to the package with first wide width portion) located at a plastic package side, a second lead portion (portion of 2 after first lead wide width portion to the beginning portion of the second wide width portion) located at a position closer to a lead tip end than said first lead portion, and a third lead portion (portion at the outer end of the lead 2 at the tip after the second wide width portion) located at a position closer to the lead tip end than said second lead portion, the third lead portion being capable of being inserted into a lead-inserting portion, the sectional area of said second lead portion is set to a value smaller than that of said first lead portion, and at least some of said leads are formed as gap controlling leads provided with gap-controlling means (portion of 2 with the second wide portion) to keep a gap between said semiconductor device and said external electric member constant by inserting at least some of the third lead portions being capable of being put into said external electric member up to said gap-controlling lead, said gap-controlling means being located at a position closer to the lead tip end than said second lead portion and said gap-controlling means having a side surface configured to contact the external electric member but not enter the lead inserting portion when the third lead portion is inserted into the lead-inserting portion, but fail to explicitly show each of said leads being coated with solder using tin as a base material without containing lead on outside of said plastic package.

Togawa is cited for showing a printed circuit board. Specifically, Togawa (figures 1 and 2) specifically figure 2 discloses a second lead portion (5) located at a position closer to a lead tip end than said first lead portion, and a third lead portion (4) located at a position closer to the lead tip end than said second lead portion, the third lead portion being capable of being inserted into a lead-inserting portion, the sectional area of said second lead portion is set to a value smaller than that of said first lead portion, and at least some of said leads are formed as gap controlling leads provided with gap-controlling means (5a) to keep a gap between said semiconductor device and said external electric member constant by inserting at least some of the third lead portions being capable of being put into said external electric member (1) up to said gap-controlling lead, said gap-controlling means being located at a position closer to the lead tip end than said second lead portion and said gap-controlling means having a side

Art Unit: 2826

surface configured to contact the external electric member but not enter the lead inserting portion when the third lead portion is inserted into the lead-inserting portion, each of said leads being coated with solder (6) using tin as a base material without containing lead on outside of said plastic package for the purpose of improving the fastening strength of lead to an external device.

- 2. The semiconductor device according to claim 1, the combination with Saito Takehiro showing wherein said gap-controlling means is formed by making the lead width thereof locally larger than the width of said second lead portion.
- 3. The semiconductor device according to claim 2, the combination with Saito Takehiro showing wherein said leads are arranged in a line at a side portion of said plastic package, only said leads at both ends of said line being formed as said gap-controlling leads.
- 4. The semiconductor device according to claim 2, the combination with Saito Takehiro showing wherein the thickness of said first lead portion is equal to that of said second lead portion, the width of said second lead portion being smaller than that of said first lead portion.
- 5. The semiconductor device according to claim 2, the combination with Saito Takehiro showing wherein the sectional area of said second lead portion is equal to that of said third lead portion.
- 6. The semiconductor device according to claim 2, the combination with Saito Takehiro showing wherein said gap-controlling means is formed in a shape protruding to both directions along a lead width direction.
- 7. The semiconductor device according to claim 6, the combination with Saito Takehiro showing wherein the lead width of said gap-controlling means is equal to that of said first lead portion.
- 8. The semiconductor device according to claim 7, the combination with Saito Takehiro showing wherein each of said gap-controlling leads is formed by linearly cutting said lead frame having a wide portion corresponding to said first lead portion, a narrow portion corresponding to said third lead portion, and a tie bar portion which connects said wide portion with said narrow portion and in which two holes are formed, and both of said holes are located at both sides of a range of said narrow portion along the lead width direction so that said holes are not present in said range, said holes being located on extension lines of both sides of said wide portion.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2826

15. The semiconductor device according to claim 8, the combination with Saito Takehiro showing wherein each of said holes is a rectangular hole in which two opposite sides are parallel with the lead width direction or lead extending direction.

18. The semiconductor device according to claim 2, the combination with Saito Takehiro showing wherein each of said gap-controlling leads is formed by linearly cutting said lead frame having a wide portion corresponding to said first lead portion, a narrow portion corresponding to said third lead portion, and a tie bar portion which connects said wide portion with said narrow portion and in which two cutoff are formed at a position closer to said narrow portion, and said cutoffs are located at both sides of a range of said narrow portion in a lead width direction, said cutoffs being located on extension lines of both sides of said wide portion so as to be previously provided with said gap controlling means.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Togawa's leads connection to the PCB to modify Saito Takehiro's leads for the purpose of improving the fastening strength of lead to an external device.

As to the grounds of rejection under section 103, see MPEP § 2113.

Response

Applicant's arguments filed 2/21/07 have been fully considered, but are not found to be persuasive in view of the modified outstanding grounds of rejections detailed above. Honda et al. show each of said leads being located near the heat sink in said plastic package.

Field of Search	Date
U.S. Class and subclass: 257/666,696,698,691,690,693,692,776,775,787,673,672,6 71,670,e23.043,e23.052,e23.092 361/774,748,761,776,405 439/75 228/180 174/52.4	12/24/04 6/14/05 5/15/06 11/12/06 4/1/07
Other Documentation: foreign patents and literature in 257/666,696,698,691,690,693,692,776,775,787,673,672,6 71,670,e23.043,e23.052,e23.092 361/774,748,761,776,405	12/24/04 6/14/05 5/15/06 11/12/06 4/1/07

Page 6

439/75	
228/180	*
174/52.4	
Electronic data base(s):	12/24/04
U.S. Patents	6/14/05
	5/15/06
	11/12/06
	4/1/07

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander O Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272 1924. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sue Purvis can be reached on (571) 272 1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

439/75	
228/180	
174/52.4	
Electronic data base(s):	12/24/04
U.S. Patents	6/14/05
	5/15/06
	11/12/06
	4/1/07

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander O Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272 1924. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sue Purvis can be reached on (571) 272 1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexander O Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826

AOW 4/1/07

Art Unit: 2826

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826

AOW 4/1/07 Page 8